UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

MARK VASQUEZ PAJAS, et al., Plaintiffs,

Case No. 16-cv-00945-BLF

v.
COUNTY OF MONTEREY, et al.,

Defendants.

VERDICT FORM

1		JURY VERDICT FORM					
2		We, the jury, unanimously find as follows:					
3	I.	DENIAL OF ADEQUATE MEDICAL CARE					
4		1.	Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the eviden adequate medical care to Mark Pajas, Sr. in violation Amendment to the United States Constitution?	ace that Defendants' employees of his rights under the Fourteen	denied th		
5							
7		2.	Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the eviden KAUPP was deliberately indifferent to the serious methis caused harm to Mark Pajas, Sr.?				
8			YES X NO				
10 11		3.	If you answered "YES" to Question 1, did Plaintiffs evidence that a policy, practice, custom, and/or failur the County of Monterey was the moving force in the that this caused harm to Mark Pajas, Sr.?	re to train of Defendants CFMG	and/or		
12			•	YES _XNO			
13			CALIFORNIA FORENSIC MEDICAL GROUP COUNTY OF MONTEREY	X YESNO			
14			Go to Question 4.		-		
15	II.	FAILURE TO PROTECT FROM HARM					
16 17		4.	Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evider to protect Mark Pajas, Sr. in violation of his rights ur United States Constitution?	nce that Defendants' employees nder the Fourteenth Amendment	failed t to the		
18			YESXNO				
19		5.	Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the eviden KAUPP was deliberately indifferent in failing to prote				
20			harm to Mark Pajas, Sr?.	oot mark I ajas, 51., and that this	Causee		
21			YES X_NO				
22	6.	6.	If you answered "YES" to Question 4, did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that a policy, practice, custom, and/or failure to train of Defendants CFMG and/or				
2324			the County of Monterey was the moving force in the failure to protect, and that this caused harm to Mark Pajas, Sr.?				
25			CALIFORNIA FORENSIC MEDICAL GROUP	YESNO			
26				YESNO			
27	·	÷	If you answered "YES" to Questions 2, 3, 5 and/or Otherwise, go to Question 8.	r 6 above, go to Question 7.			
28							

-1-

1	III.	INTE	RFERENCE WITH FAMILIAL RELATIONS
2		7.	For any Defendant you found liable under Sections I and/or II above, did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the Defendant's actions violated Plaintiffs' rights to familial relationships under the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution?
4			CHRISTINA KAUPPYES _XNO
5			CALIFORNIA FORENSIC MEDICAL GROUP YES X NO
6			COUNTY OF MONTEREYNO
8			Go to Question 8.
9	IV.	WRO	NGFUL DEATH
10		8.	If you found that CHRISTINA KAUPP's and/or CFMG employees' acts were deliberately indifferent, did the deliberate indifference result in CFMG acting wrongfully towards Mark
11			Pajas, Sr.?
12			YES _X_NO
13		9.	If you answered "Yes" to Question 8, was CFMG's wrongful act the cause of Mark Pajas, Sr.'s death?
14			YES NO
15 16			If you answered "NO" to Questions 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9, then skip Section V and sign and date this form.
17			If you answered "YES" to Questions 2, 3, 5, 6, 7 and/or 9, please answer Questions 10, 11 and 12.
18	V.	DAM.	AGES
19		10.	What do you find to be the total amount of damages suffered by each of the following Plaintiffs?
20			a. ESTATE OF MARK PAJAS, SR.
21			Amount: \$
22			b. ROSEMARY LOPEZ
23			Amount: \$ \\ \(\oldsymbol{0} \oldsymbol{0} \oldsymbol{0} \oldsymbol{0} \)
24			c. YVETTE PAJAS
25			Amount: \$_375,000
26			d. MARK PAJAS, JR.
27			Amount: \$ 375,000
28			

Case 5:16-cv-00945-BLF Document 301-1 Filed 02/20/19 Page 3 of 4

-2-

1		e. JANEL PAJAS				
2		Amount: \$ 375,000				
3		f. XAIVER PAJAS				
4		Amount: \$ 375,000				
5		Go to Question 11.				
6	11.	With respect to your findings on Questions 2, 3, 5, 6, and/or 7 for denial of adequate				
7		medical care, failure to protect, and/or interference with familial relations, do you find that Plaintiffs proved by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the following Defendants acted with malice, oppression, or reckless disregard for Plaintiffs' rights?				
8		CALIFORNIA FORENSIC MEDICAL GROUPYES _XNO				
9		CHRISTINA KAUPPYES _XNO				
10	12.	With respect to your finding on Question 9 for wrongful death, do you find that Plaintiffs				
11		proved by clear and convincing evidence that the following Defendant acted with malice, oppression, or reckless disregard for Plaintiffs' rights?				
12		CALIFORNIA FORENSIC MEDICAL GROUPYESXNO				
13	VI. SIGN	AND DATE THE SPECIAL VERDICT FORM				
14	Signed:	Alyss & Olfm				
15	Foreperson of	the Jury. Alyssa J Olson				
16	Dated:	2/7/19				
17	After this verdict form has been signed and dated, please submit a Jury Note to the Court Security Officer notifying the Court that the Jury has reached a verdict. Please return the verdict form to the					
18	Courtroom De	eputy.				
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
		2				